

: To implement the Academic Misconduct Policy, the design outlined in this document shall be followed.

Responsibility: The Vice President Academic, on behalf of The Senather University, is responsible for the development, indistration and review of these procedures.

Definitions:

- a) "head" refers to the person responsible for the manageamen administration of a program and/or department.
- b) Time Period: In these Procedures, days are specified as either or working days. In either event, calculation of dalyses not include days on which the University is:
 - i) closed for statutory holidays,
 - ii) closed due to flooding, power outages, securite ats, or other such extraordinary occurrences, or
 - iii) otherwise shown as closed in the University Academailendar,

Approved: by Senate, November 21, 2012

Revised:by Seneturpose



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

Approved:



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

6. Archival files pertaining to academic misconds totall be maintained by the Dean/Associate Dean who is Chair of the Senate reduced Standards and



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

Where academic misconduct is suspected during amm, ethe Instructor shall:

- a) not suspend the exam process;
- b) not suspend the exam for the student or the st(x)extincerned;
- c) allow the student(s) to continue to completion;
- d) collect all available allegedly offending matesialt the time they are discovered or otherwise record the circumstances including legitronic or photographic means; note concerns on the submitted exam, imgure if usal on the part of the student to cooperate;
- e) at the time exam is received communicate the contcethe student(s) and notify the student(s) they will be invited to meet with the structor within 5 working days.

If a course has ended in which academic miscorlate been alleged, and final grades must be submitted, the Instructor shall submittigrades for the course, without entering a grade for the student in question, antidyr Student Records, with a copy to the faculty, department or divisional designate (fixample, DRC/ARC chair, Department Chair, or Director), that this gradpessding and will be submitted upon completion of the Academic Misconduct Procedures.

2. Allegations arising other than within a course:

If allegations of academic misconduct arise othentwithin a course (e.g., transcript forgery, false documentation), the head shall previous written report of the allegations, directe.478208(t)-2.53537.956417(80)-0.95715789(c)3.15789(2n)-0.958863(35-0.9571578) ()-0.478

Approved: by Senate, November 21, 2012 Revised:by Senate, January 21, 2015

Cross Reference:



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

Approved: by Senate, November 21, 2012 Revised:by Senate, January 21, 2015





PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

In cases where the student does not request angettre Committee may decide the matter based on the written material and other labele evidence and information.

The Senate Academic Misconduct Appeals Committed the access to all relevant material:

- a) all material forwarded from levels I, II and III;
- b)



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

- c) reasons for them;
- d) all Senate Academic Standards and Misconduct Communications concerning the case;
- e) any new information relevant to the case and nestented at Level I, II or III;
- f) a summary report of the case, decisions and reasonthse recommendation;
- g) meeting notes of the meetings of the Committee.

Level IV: A confidential file is kept by the Office the Dean who is Chair of the Senate Academic Standards and Misconduct Committee file contains all documentation considered during the Senate Academic Miscondup process, and will be kept for no more than two years after resolution.

The official archival files containing the confiden



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

Student Records and Notations of Disciplinary Action

The Chair of the Senate Academic Standards and Midsuct Committee shall notify the Records Office of all disciplinary actions take Initial tincludes penalties assessed, subsequent appeals and the appeals outcomes pletitality involves suspension or expulsion from the University, the Chair of the Sten Academic Standards and Misconduct Committee will inform the Records Office the need for this to appear on the student's record, how long it should remain the mecord, and the conditions for removing it from the record.

The Records Office may be asked by the Chair of Stereate Academic Standards and Misconduct Committee to withhold the issuance and scripts or statement of grades for



PROCEDURES: APPENDIX:

occurred, the types of misconduct, and the kindsenfalties assessed. This report will be based on information received from levels II, thind IV. This report will also be published so that members of the University community communitial be kept informed of the nature and disposition of cases dealt with under Prolicy. In addition, should the evaluation reveal a pattern of academic miscont that is identifiable with respect to the factors evaluated, the Senate Academic Standard Marconduct Committee may recommend to Senate changes in policy, preventativens and/or any matters, as appropriate.

Responsibility of Members of the Academic Community
All members of the University community have the prensibility to ensure that students are familiar with generally accepted standards requirements of academic honesty.

However, ignorance of these standards will not present imposition of penalties for academic misconduct.

Review:

These procedures shall be reviewed in conjunction the Policy review at least once every five years.